## **Options for Revising Alcohol Laws**

Licensing - Manufacturers	Concerns
1) Make tasting rooms open same hours	1a) Competition with taverns
	1b) Wineries are now 8 am to 2 am
2) Allow tasting rooms to be open for expanded hours	2a) Competition with taverns
	2b) Wineries are now 8 am to 2 am
3) Revise amounts that can be sold in tasting rooms	3a) Competition with taverns
	3b) Wineries are now 8 am to 2 am. And no sample limits
4) Revise production limits for small brewer exception	4a) Limits are static/consistent. Should they be adjusted?
Licensing - Distributors	
1) Get the state out of the liquor warehouse business	1a) Taxation mechanics shifted to private wholesalers
	1b) loss of \$12 million in markup but retail price possible may ris
2) Address inefficiencies in distribution	2a) Inability to go to distributor vs having delivery
	2b) Problems with hard-to-reach retailers (ARM 42-13-1202)
	2c) Additional options may complicate enforcement
Licensing -Retailers	
1) Combine beer-wine as 1 license, delete R B	1a) Gambling expansion / delineation
	1b) Unequal purchase values would need to be addressed
2) Limit/expand concessionaire agreements	2a) Inequity with taverns/quota system
	2b) Legislation may need to define numbers, how they work
	2c) Does the gambling portion of a license also "float"
3) Does limit of 3 licenses per owner have a purpose?	3a) Does this encourage competition or limit free market?
4) Expand special permit options. For example, allow	
small-lot, time-limited licenses for farmers' markets,	
painting classes, sports events, etc.	4a) Competition with taverns. May be hard to enforce.
Combined Tiers	
1) Brew Pubs	1a) Cross-licensing diminishes separation of tiers
Licensing - Gambling	
	1a) Not all alcohol licenses have gambling - what is cost
1) Should gambling licenses be tied to alcohol licenses?	differential and how to adjust if changed?
2) What to do with license in concessionaire sharing?	2a) Should no - one- all concessionaire(s) get gambling option?
System Overhaul	1a) Daymant of the acting posted in system
1) Remove / expand quota system	1a) Payment of those invested in system
2) NACINA licenses montromoforeble but let cale account to	1b) What does a changed system look like?
2) Make licenses nontransferable but let sale accrue to	22) Parasasas arianta arias libraras taranfara hatilata aranda taran
seller	2a) Removes private sales, license transfers but lets market work
2) Pavido # of licenses and average at the tr	2a) Pieke mananahy an camaantustian of avvis auchin
3) Revise # of licenses per owner or remove limit	3a) Risks monopoly or concentration of ownership
A) Allow cross license helding (metal foderal low)	4a) Risks vertical monopolies
4) Allow cross-license holding (match federal law)  Enforcement	4a) Misks vertical monopolles
1) Revise or clean up state vs. county jurisdiction? (For	1a) Some current statutes rely on county prosecution and they
	are swamped with other activities.
example, bottle clubs)	are swamped with other activities.
2) Should penalties be proportionate using point system	2a) Is current system harsher - 3 strikes - hard to enforce?
27 Should penalties be proportionate using point system	24/13 current system harsher - 3 strikes - Hard to emorce!
3) Do restrictions (neighborhood) make sense?	3a) Bars may be across from schools if main doors on dif sts
of po restrictions (heighborhood) make sense:	Jay bars may be across from schools it main addrs on all sts

## Other?

ARM 42-13-1202 - http://mtrules.org/gateway/ShowNoticeFile.asp?TID=9592